

EXTRAORDINARY PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 621 CUTTACK, FRIDAY, MARCH 28, 2014/CHAITRA 7, 1936

LABOUR & E. S. I. DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 18th March 2014

No. 2568—li/1-(S)-24/2006-LE.,—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 16th January 2014 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 3/2007 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the industrial dispute between the Management of M/s Ultra Tech Cement Ltd., Jharsuguda Cement Work, Dhutra and its workmen represented through Jharsuguda Cement Works Karmachari Sangha, Dhutra, Jharsuguda was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL;

ROURKELA

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 3 OF 2007

Dated the 16th January 2014

Present:

Shri S. K. Mohanty,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal,

Rourkela.

Between:

M/s Ultra Tech Cement Ltd, . . First Party

At Dhutra, PO Arda,

Dist Jharsuguda.

And

Its Workmen, represented by the President, Jharsuguda Cement Workers Karmachari Sangha, At Dhutra, Jharsuguda.

. Second Party

Appearances:

None ... For the First Party

None ... For the Second Party

AWARD

The Government of Odisha in Labour & Employment Department in exercise of their power conferred under sub-section(5) of Section 122 read with Clause (d) sub-section (1) of Section (10) of the Industrial Disputes Act have referred the following dispute vide order No. 2621-li/1(S)24/06/L.E. dated the 20th March 2007 for adjudication:

"Whether the demand of the employees of Jharsuguda Cement Works of M/s Ultra Tech Cement Ltd, Dhutra, Post Arda, Dist Jharsuguda putforth by the Jharsuguda Cement Workers Karmachari Snagha for payment of bonus/production linked bonus for the accounting year 2005-06 @ Rs. 10,000 per employee is legal and/or justified? If so, what what should be the quantum of the details?"

2. On notices being sent, the management appeared and thereafter remained absent in spite of several notices issued to them. But the 2nd party remained absent in spite of several notices to him. So it seems that either there is no dispute or they have settled their dispute amicably outside the court. Accordingly, no dispute award, is passed

Dictated and corrected by me

S. K. MOHANTY 16-1-2014 Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal Rourkela S. K. MOHANTY 16-1-2014 Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal Rourkela

By order of the Governor R. K. NANDA Under-Secretary to Government